arXiv:1703.02829v1 [math.AG] 8 Mar 2017

ON THE LOCUS OF POINTS OF HIGH RANK

JAROSŁAW BUCZYŃSKI, KANGJIN HAN, MASSIMILIANO MELLA, AND ZACH TEITLER

Abstract. Given a closed subvariety X in a projective space, the rank with respect to X of a point p in this projective space is the least integer r such that p lies in the linear span of some r points of X. Let W_k be the closure of the set of points of rank with respect to X equal to k. For small values of k such loci are called secant varieties. This article studies the loci W_k for values of k larger than the generic rank. We show they are nested, we bound their dimensions, and we estimate the maximal possible rank with respect to X in special cases, including when X is a homogeneous space or a curve. The theory is illustrated by numerous examples, including Veronese varieties, the Segre product of dimensions $(1, 3, 3)$, and curves. An intermediate result provides a lower bound on the dimension of any $\mathbf{GL}_n$ orbit of a homogeneous form.

1. Introduction

A general $m \times n$ matrix has rank $\min\{m, n\}$, and this is the greatest possible rank. The locus of matrices of rank at most r, for $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$, is well-studied: its defining equations are well-known, along with its codimension, singularities, and so on.

Also well-studied are the loci of tensors of a fixed format and of rank at most r. These, up to closure, are *secant varieties* of Segre varieties. Despite intense study, defining equations and dimensions of such secant varieties are known only in limited cases, to say nothing of their singularities. For introductory overviews of this, see for example [CGO14, Lan12]. In contrast to the matrix case, however, special tensors may have ranks strictly greater than the rank of a general tensor. The locus of tensors with ranks greater than the generic rank is quite mysterious. In general it is not known what is the dimension of this locus, what are its equations, whether it is irreducible—or even whether it is nonempty.

Date: March 8, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N15, 15A72.

Key words and phrases. Secant variety, rank locus, tensor rank, symmetric tensor rank.

Similarly, the closure of the locus of symmetric tensors of rank at most r is a secant variety of a Veronese variety. In this case, the dimensions of all such secant varieties are known, although the equations are not known. The same sources [CGO14, Lan12] also give introductions to this case as well. But once again, special symmetric tensors may have ranks strictly greater than the rank of a general symmetric tensor. And once again, the locus of such symmetric tensors is almost completely unknown.

Here we study high rank loci for tensors and symmetric tensors, and for more general notions of rank. We consider rank with respect to a nondegenerate, irreducible projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic zero. Let $\mathrm{rank} = \mathrm{rank}_X$ denote rank with respect to X, the function that assigns to each point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ the least number r such that p lies in the linear span of some r points of X. See §2 for more details. For $k \geq 1$ let

$$W_k = \overline{\mathrm{rank}^{-1}(k)} = \overline{\{p \in \mathbb{P}^N : \mathrm{rank}(p) = k\}}.$$

Let g be the generic rank with respect to X. Note that $W_k = \sigma_k(X)$ is the kth secant variety for $1 \leq k \leq g$, in particular $W_1 = X$ and $W_g = \mathbb{P}^N$. We seek to understand the high rank loci, namely, W_k for $k > g$.

We give dimension bounds for the W_k and we find containments and non-containments between the high rank loci and secant varieties. Using these, we can improve previously known upper bounds for rank in the cases where X is a curve (Theorem 13) or a projective homogeneous variety (Theorem 15). This includes Segre and Veronese varieties, corresponding to tensor rank and symmetric tensor rank. The key result is a nesting statement, that each high rank locus W_k for $k > g$ is contained in the next highest one W_{k-1}, and in fact more strongly the join of W_k and X is contained in W_{k-1}, see Theorem 7.

We give a lower bound for the dimension of the locus of symmetric tensors of maximal rank, showing that, even though the maximal value of rank is unknown (!), there is a relatively large supply of symmetric tensors with maximal rank, see Theorem 17. Possibly of independent interest, we give a lower bound for the dimension of the $\mathbf{GL}(V)$ orbit of a homogeneous form $F \in S^d(V)$, assuming only that F is concise, i.e., cannot be written using fewer variables; other well-known results assume F defines a smooth hypersurface, but we give a bound even if the hypersurface defined by F is singular, reducible, or non-reduced, see Proposition 23.

We find the dimension of the locus of $2 \times 2n \times 2n$ tensors of maximal rank, see Proposition 31, and we characterize all $2 \times 4 \times 4$ tensors with greater than generic rank, see Proposition 35.

Finally, in Section 6 we let X be a curve contained in a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then the generic rank is $g = 2$ and the maximal rank is $m = 2$ or 3. When X is a general curve of bidegree $(2, 2)$ we show that W_3 is a curve of degree 8, disjoint from X, with 4 points of rank 2 and all the rest of rank 3. Piene has shown that if X is a general curve of bidegree $(3, 3)$, then W_3 is empty, i.e., $m = 2$. We extend this to general curves of bidegree (a, b) with $a \geq 4$ and $b \geq 1$.

2. Background

We work over a closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic zero.

For a finite dimensional vector space V, let $\mathbb{P}V$ be the projective space of lines through the origin in V, and for $q \in V$, $q \neq 0$, let $[q]$ be the corresponding point in $\mathbb{P}V$. A *variety* $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ is a reduced closed subscheme. We deal only with varieties in $\mathbb{P}V$ defined over $\Bbbk$. Recall that a variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ is *nondegenerate* if X is not contained in any proper linear subspace, equivalently if X linearly spans $\mathbb{P}V$.

2.1. **Ranks and secant varieties.** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ be a nondegenerate variety. For $q \in V$, $q \neq 0$, the *rank with respect to* X of q, denoted $\operatorname{rank}_X(q)$ or more simply $\operatorname{rank}(q)$, is the least integer r such that $q = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$ for some $x_i \in V$ with $[x_i] \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Equivalently, $\operatorname{rank}(q)$ is the least integer r such that $[q]$ lies in the span of some r distinct, reduced points in X. We extend rank to $\mathbb{P}V$ by $\operatorname{rank}([q]) = \operatorname{rank}(q)$.

For example, *tensor rank* is rank with respect to a Segre variety, *Waring rank* is rank with respect to a Veronese variety, and *alternating tensor rank* is rank with respect to a Grassmannian in its Plücker embedding.

The rank function is subadditive and invariant under multiplication by scalars. In particular,

$$\operatorname{rank}(p) - \operatorname{rank}(q) \leq \operatorname{rank}(p + q) \leq \operatorname{rank}(p) + \operatorname{rank}(q).$$

The rth *secant variety* of X, denoted $\sigma_r(X)$, is the closure of the union of the planes spanned by r distinct, reduced points in X. Equivalently, $\sigma_r(X)$ is the closure of the set of points of rank at most r.

There is a unique value g, called the *generic rank*, such that there is a Zariski open, dense subset of $\mathbb{P}^N$ of points with rank g. The generic rank is the least value r such that $\sigma_r(X) = \mathbb{P}^N$. (The situation is more

complicated over non-closed fields. See for example [BS16] for the real case.)

2.2. **Upper bounds for rank.** As long as X is nondegenerate, we may choose a basis for V consisting of points x_i with $[x_i] \in X$, and then every point in $\mathbb{P}V$ can be written as a linear combination of those basis elements. This shows that every point in $\mathbb{P}V$ has rank at most $\dim V$. In particular the values of rank are finite and bounded.

Let m be the maximal rank with respect to an irreducible, nondegenerate variety X. Recall the following well-known upper bounds.

Theorem 1 ([LT10])**.** $m \leq \operatorname{codim}(X) + 1$.

Proof. For any $q \notin X$, a general plane through q of dimension $\operatorname{codim} X$ is spanned by its intersection with X (see argument in [LT10], or [Har95, Proposition 18.10]), which is reduced by Bertini's theorem. This plane intersects X in $\deg(X)$ many points; choosing a spanning subset shows $\operatorname{rank}(q) \leq \operatorname{codim}(X) + 1$. □

This was also observed by Geramita when X is a Veronese variety, corresponding to the case of Waring rank [Ger96, pg. 60]. It is false in the positive characteristic case, see [Bal11], and it is false over the real numbers, see [BS16], [Bal10]. (In the positive characteristic case and over the real numbers the bound is $\operatorname{codim}(X) + 2$.)

Theorem 2 ([BT15])**.** $m \leq 2g$. *If $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, then* $m \leq 2g - 1$.

Proof. A general line through $q \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is spanned by two points x, y in the dense open set of points of rank g. So q is a linear combination of x and y, and $\operatorname{rank}(q) \leq \operatorname{rank}(x) + \operatorname{rank}(y) = 2g$. If $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, a general line through q contains a point x of rank $g - 1$ and a point y of rank g. Again $\operatorname{rank}(q) \leq \operatorname{rank}(x) + \operatorname{rank}(y) = 2g - 1$. □

This bound holds over the real numbers and over closed fields in arbitrary characteristic, see [BT15]. Over the real numbers this bound is sharp, see [BS16, Theorem 2.10]. We show that, over a closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic zero, it can be improved to $m \leq 2g - 1$ in some cases, such as when X is a curve or a homogeneous variety. It is an open question whether $m \leq 2g - 1$ for every variety X over a closed field.

2.3. **Joins and vertices.** We recall some basic notions of joins and vertices of varieties in $\mathbb{P}^N$. See [FOV99, §4.6] for more details.

Definition 3. The *join* of two varieties $V_1, V_2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, denoted $J(V_1, V_2)$, is the closure of the union of all lines spanned by points p, q with $p \in V_1$, $q \in V_2$, and $p \neq q$. We also use additive notation: $V_1 + V_2 = J(V_1, V_2)$ and $kV = V + (k-1)V = V + \cdots + V$, k times. In particular the secant variety $\sigma_k(X)$ is equal to kX.

Note that if X, Y are irreducible then so is $X + Y$.

Definition 4. Let $W \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme. A point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is called a *vertex* of W if $p + W = W$ set-theoretically. The set of vertices of W is denoted $\operatorname{Vertex}(W)$.

It is well known that $\operatorname{Vertex}(W) \subseteq W$ and $\operatorname{Vertex}(W)$ is a linear space.

Proposition 5 ([Ådl87, Proposition 1.3])**.** *Let X, Y be irreducible varieties in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then*

(1) $X + Y = Y$ if and only if $X \subseteq \operatorname{Vertex}(Y)$,
(2) $\dim X + Y = \dim Y + 1$ implies $X \subseteq \operatorname{Vertex}(X + Y)$.

Corollary 6. *Let $W, X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be irreducible varieties with X nondegenerate. For every $k \geq 0$, either $\dim(W + kX) \geq \dim W + 2k$ or $W + kX = \mathbb{P}^N$.*

3. General Results

Theorem 7. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, nondegenerate variety. Let g be the generic rank and m the maximal rank with respect to X. Then for each k, $g + 1 \leq k \leq m$, $W_k + X \subseteq W_{k-1}$. In particular $W_m \subset W_{m-1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{g+1} \subset W_g = \mathbb{P}^N$.*

Proof. Let W be an irreducible component of W_m. A general point of $W + X$ has rank m or $m - 1$. If the general point has rank m then $W + X \subseteq W_m$. Since $W + X$ is irreducible, it is contained in one of the irreducible components of W_m; since $W \subseteq W + X$, it must be $W + X = W$. But then $X \subseteq \operatorname{Vertex}(W)$, contradicting the nondegeneracy of X. So $W + X \subseteq W_{m-1}$, which shows $W_m + X \subseteq W_{m-1}$.

Suppose inductively $W_{h+1} + X \subseteq W_h$, where $m > h \geq g + 1$. Let W be an irreducible component of W_h. A general point of $W + X$ has rank $h+1$, h, or $h-1$. It cannot be h, or else once again $W \subseteq W + X \subseteq W_h$, $W = W + X$, and $X \subset \operatorname{Vertex}(W)$. And it cannot be $h + 1$, or else $W + X \subseteq W_{h+1}$, which means $W + 2X \subseteq W_{h+1} + X \subseteq W_h$ by induction. But then $W + 2X$ is contained in an irreducible component of W_h, which must be W since $W \subseteq W + 2X$. So then $W = W + 2X$ and $X \subseteq 2X \subseteq \operatorname{Vertex}(W)$. Hence $W + X \subseteq W_{h-1}$, which shows $W_h + X \subseteq W_{h-1}$. □

Remark 8. In §4.4 and §5.5 we will give examples where $W_k + X = W_{k-1}$ for $g+1 \leq k \leq m$. It is an interesting problem to find an example where the inclusion $W_k + X \subseteq W_{k-1}$ is strict.

Corollary 9. *For $1 \leq k \leq m-g$, $\sigma_k(X) = kX \subset W_{m-k}$.*

Corollary 10. *For $1 \leq k \leq g-1$, $\sigma_k(X) \not\subset W_{2g-k+1}$. In particular if $m = 2g$, then for $1 \leq k \leq g-1$, $\sigma_k(X) \not\subset W_{m-k+1}$.*

Proof. If $\sigma_k(X) \subseteq W_{2g-k+1}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}^N = gX = kX + (g-k)X \subseteq W_{2g-k+1} + (g-k)X \subseteq W_{g+1} \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N,$$

a contradiction. □

Remark 11. Containments in the other direction need not hold. For an example where $W_m \not\subset \sigma_{g-1}(X)$, see Remark 30.

We give a sharp bound on the dimension of the high rank loci.

Theorem 12. *Let X be an irreducible variety in $\mathbb{P}^N$ and let g be the generic rank with respect to X. For every $k \geq 1$,* $\operatorname{codim} W_{g+k} \geq 2k-1$.

Proof. We have $W_{g+k} + (k-1)X \subseteq W_{g+1} \neq \mathbb{P}^N$ by Theorem 7. Then $N > \dim(W_{g+k} + (k-1)X) \geq \dim W_{g+k} + 2(k-1)$ by Corollary 6. □

See §4.4 for an example where $\operatorname{codim} W_{g+k} = 2k-1$ holds.

We can give improved upper bounds for ranks in two cases. First, if X is a curve, we can improve by 1 the conclusions of Theorem 2.

Theorem 13. *Let X be an irreducible nondegenerate curve in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let g be the generic rank and m the maximal rank with respect to X. Then $m \leq 2g-1$. Moreover, if in addition the last nontrivial secant variety $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, then $m \leq 2g-2$.*

Proof. First recall that X is nondefective, meaning that for $k \geq 1$, $\dim kX = \min\{N, 2k-1\}$, see for example [Ådl87, Introduction, Remark 1.6]. Then $N > \dim(g-1)X = 2g-3$, and $N = \dim gX \leq 2g-1$. Hence $N \in \{2g-1, 2g-2\}$.

If N is odd, $N = 2g-1$, then $\operatorname{codim} X = N - 1 = 2g-2$. By Theorem 1, $m \leq \operatorname{codim} X + 1 = 2g-1$.

If N is even, $N = 2g-2$, then $\dim \sigma_{g-1}(X) = 2g-3 = N-1$. This is the case in which $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface. By Theorem 1 again, $m \leq \operatorname{codim} X + 1 = 2g-2$. □

Remark 14. The above result fails over the reals, see [BS16, Theorem 2.10].

Second, if X is a projective homogeneous variety in a homogeneous embedding then we can obtain the same improvement.

Theorem 15. *Let G be a connected algebraic group, V an irreducible representation of G, and $X = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}V$ a projective homogeneous variety. Let g be the generic rank and m the maximal rank with respect to X. Then $m \leq 2g-1$. Moreover, if in addition the last nontrivial secant variety $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, then $m \leq 2g-2$.*

Proof. X is the unique closed orbit of G on $\mathbb{P}V$, see for example [FH91, Claim 23.52]. Since X is G-invariant, so is each rank locus W_k. Every G-invariant closed set contains X, in particular $X \subset W_m$. The asssertion $m = 2g$ contradicts Corollary 10, thus $m \leq 2g-1$.

If in addition $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, and $m = 2g-1$, then

$$\sigma_{g-1}(X) = (g-1)X \subseteq W_m + (g-2)X,$$

since $X \subset W_m$. Then

$$\sigma_{g-1}(X) \subseteq W_{2g-1} + (g-2)X \subseteq W_{g+1} \subsetneqq \mathbb{P}V.$$

Therefore W_{g+1} contains an irreducible component equal to $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$. This contradicts the definition of the rank locus W_{g+1}: general points in $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ have rank $g-1$, but general points in each component of W_{g+1} have rank $g+1$. It follows that whenever $\sigma_{g-1}(X)$ is a hypersurface, we must have $m \leq 2g-2$. □

The bounds in both Theorem 13 and Theorem 15 are attained when X is a rational normal curve, see §4.4.

Example 16. The maximal rank is strictly less than twice the generic rank in the following cases.

(1) Waring rank, when X is a Veronese variety.
(2) Tensor rank, when X is a Segre variety.
(3) Alternating tensor rank, when X is a Grassmannian in its Plücker embedding.
(4) Multihomogeneous rank, also called partially symmetric tensor rank, when X is a Segre-Veronese variety.

4. Veronese Varieties

When $X = \nu_d(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a Veronese variety, then $\mathbb{P}^N$ is the projective space of degree d homogeneous forms in n variables and X corresponds to the dth powers. Rank with respect to X is called *Waring rank*. The Waring rank of a homogeneous form of degree d is the least r such that the form can be written as a sum of r dth powers of linear forms. For example, $xy = \frac{1}{4}(x+y)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2$, so $\operatorname{rank}(xy) \leq 2$; since $xy \neq \ell^2$, $\operatorname{rank}(xy) = 2$.

The main result in this section is a lower bound for the dimension of the maximal rank locus W_m with respect to any Veronese variety.

Theorem 17. *Suppose $X = \nu_d(\mathbb{P}V) \subset \mathbb{P}(S^dV)$ is the Veronese variety and that $\dim V = n \geq 3$. Then every irreducible component of the rank locus W_m has dimension at least $\binom{n+1}{2} - 1$. Moreover, if W is an irreducible component of W_m with $\dim W = \binom{n+1}{2} - 1$, then d is even and W is the set of all $\frac{d}{2}$-th powers of quadrics.*

This will be proved in §4.8. The proof uses a lower bound for the dimension of the orbit of a homogeneous form under linear substitutions of variables, which may be of independent interest, see §4.6. First, we review some background information on apolarity, conciseness, and generic and maximal Waring rank. We also give a full description of the rank loci with respect to a rational normal curve, that is, a Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1$, corresponding to Waring rank of binary forms.

4.1. **Apolarity.** Let $S = \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $T = \Bbbk[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$, called the dual ring of S. We let T act on S by differentiation, with α_i acting as partial differentiation by x_i. This is called the *apolarity action* and denoted by the symbol $\lrcorner$, so that

$$(\alpha_1^{a_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{a_n}) \lrcorner (x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d_i!}{(d_i - a_i)!} x_i^{d_i - a_i}$$

if each $d_i \geq a_i$, or 0 otherwise.

For $F \in S$, $F^\perp \subseteq T$ is the ideal of $\Theta \in T$ such that $\Theta \lrcorner F = 0$. For example, $(x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n})^\perp = (\alpha_1^{d_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_n^{d_n+1})$. If F is homogeneous then $F^\perp$ is a homogeneous ideal. For more details see for example [IK99, §1.1].

4.2. **Concise forms.** In the terminology of [Car06], a form $F \in S^dV \cong \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$ is called *concise* with respect to V (or with respect to $x_1, \dots, x_n$) if F cannot be written as a homogeneous form in fewer variables, even after a linear change of coordinates; that is, F is concise if $V' \subseteq V$ and $F \in S^dV'$ implies $V' = V$. The following are equivalent: F is concise; the projective hypersurface $V(F)$ is not a cone (i.e., has empty vertex); the ideal $F^\perp$ has no linear elements; the $(d-1)$th derivatives of F span the linear forms. Note that the last two conditions can be checked directly by computation.

Write $\langle F \rangle$ for the span of the $(d-1)$th (degree 1) derivatives of F. We have $\langle F \rangle = ((F^\perp)_1)^\perp$, that is, $\langle F \rangle$ is perpendicular to the space of linear forms in the ideal $F^\perp$. Nonzero elements of $\langle F \rangle$ (or, elements of a basis of $\langle F \rangle$) are called *essential variables* of F. We have $F \in S^d\langle F \rangle$, see [Car06, Proposition 1].

4.3. **Generic and maximal Waring rank.** The rank of a quadratic form is equal to its number of essential variables, by diagonalization. Thus, if $d = 2$, then $g = m = n$. If $n = 2$, then $g = \lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor$ and $m = d$ by work of Sylvester and others in the 19th century, see for example [IK99, §1.3] and references therein. We will review the $n = 2$ case in the next section.

For $n, d \geq 3$ the generic rank is known by the famous Alexander-Hirschowitz Theorem:

Theorem 18 ([AH95])**.** *Suppose $n, d \geq 3$. The generic rank $g = g_{n,d}$ with respect to the Veronese variety $\nu_d(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is as follows.*

(1) If $n = 3$ and $d = 4$, then $g_{3,4} = 6$,
(2) if $n = 4$ and $d = 4$, then $g_{4,4} = 10$,
(3) if $n = 5$ and $d = 3$, then $g_{5,3} = 8$,
(4) if $n = 5$ and $d = 4$, then $g_{5,3} = 15$,
(5) and otherwise, if $(n, d) \notin \{(3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 3), (5, 4)\}$, then

$$g_{n,d} = \left\lceil \frac{1}{n} \binom{d+n-1}{d} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{(d+n-1)!}{d! \cdot n!} \right\rceil .$$

Moreover, the last proper secant variety $\sigma_{g-1}(\nu_d(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}))$ is a hypersurface if and only if $\binom{d+n-1}{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ or it is an exceptional case, $(n, d) \in \{(3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 3), (5, 4)\}$.

On the other hand, the maximal rank $m = m_{n,d}$ is only known in a few initial cases: $m_{3,3} = 5$, $m_{3,4} = 7$, $m_{3,5} = 10$, $m_{4,3} = 7$. See [BT16] for details and references.

We have $m_{n,d} > g_{n,d}$ when $n = 2$, when $n = 3$, and when $n = 4$ and d is odd [BT16]. In all other cases, it is an open question whether $m_{n,d} > g_{n,d}$.

4.4. **Binary forms.** Suppose $X = \nu_d(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ is the rational normal curve of degree d. We identify $\mathbb{P}^d$ as the space of forms of degree d in two variables. Here X is the set of dth powers $[\ell^d]$. In this case the apolarity method provides a full description of the loci W_k, as follows. Let $\tau(X)$ be the tangential variety of X. Some parts of the following statement are well-known, see for example [IK99, §1.3], and much (perhaps all) of it is known to experts, but we include the statement here for lack of a clear reference.

Proposition 19. *Let $X = \nu_d(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ be the rational normal curve of degree d. The generic rank is $g = \lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor$ and the maximal rank is $m = d$. We have $W_m = W_d = \tau(X)$. For $g < k < m$ we have $W_k = \tau(X) + (d - k)X$. In particular, we have the following nested*

inclusions of irreducible varieties (each one of codimension 1 *in the next):*

$$X \subset \tau(X) \subset 2X \subset \tau(X) + X \subset 3X \subset \tau(X) + 2X \subset \cdots,$$

equivalently,

$$\sigma_1(X) \subset W_d \subset \sigma_2(X) \subset W_{d-1} \subset \sigma_3(X) \subset W_{d-2} \subset \cdots.$$

If $d = 2g - 2$ *is even, then the sequence of inclusions ends with:*

$$\cdots \subset \sigma_{g-2}(X) \subset W_{g+1} \subset \sigma_{g-1}(X) \subset \sigma_g(X) = \mathbb{P}^d.$$

Or, if $d = 2g - 1$ *is odd, then it ends with:*

$$\cdots \subset \sigma_{g-1}(X) \subset W_{g+1} \subset \sigma_g(X) = \mathbb{P}^d.$$

Proof. Fix $S = \Bbbk[x, y]$ and $V = S_1$, the space of linear forms in S. We identify S_d with S^dV and the dual ring $T = \Bbbk[\alpha, \beta]$ with the symmetric algebra on V^*.

For a homogeneous polynomial $F \in S^dV$ let $F^\perp$ be the apolar ideal of F. Then $F^\perp = (\Theta, \Psi)$ is a homogeneous complete intersection with $\deg \Theta = r \leq \deg \Psi = d + 2 - r$, see for example [IK99, Theorem 1.44]. Note that both Θ and Ψ are homogeneous polynomials in two variables, hence they are products of linear factors. Then (see for example [IK99, §1.3], [CS11]) $F \in \sigma_r(X) \setminus \sigma_{r-1}(X)$; if Θ has all distinct roots, then $\operatorname{rank}(F) = r$; and if Θ has at least one repeated root, then $\operatorname{rank}(F) = d + 2 - r$.

Note further, that if $r < d + 2 - r$, the polynomial Θ is unique up to rescaling. In particular, whether it has distinct roots or not does not depend on any choices, so the conditions for $\operatorname{rank}(F) = r$ or $d + 2 - r$ are well defined. (If $r = d + 2 - r$, then the conclusions are the same in both cases.) Still assuming $r < d + 2 - r$, the uniqueness of Θ determines a well defined map:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r \colon \sigma_r(X) \setminus \sigma_{r-1}(X) &\to \mathbb{P}(S^rV^*), \\ F &\mapsto [\Theta]. \end{aligned}$$

The map is surjective and every fiber $\pi_r^{-1}[\Theta]$ is a Zariski open subset of a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \subset \mathbb{P}(S^dV)$, where $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the linear span of $\nu_d(V(\Theta))$. The locus of Θ with a double root is an irreducible divisor in $\mathbb{P}(S^rV^*)$ and (the closure of) its preimage W_{d+2-r} is also irreducible of codimension 1 in $\sigma_r(X)$.

From this we see that $\dim \sigma_r(X) = 2r - 1$, so the generic rank $g = \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor$. Furthermore, the maximal rank is $m = d$, and it appears whenever r is 2 and Θ has a double root, so that F is in the span of a double point, i.e., F is in the tangential variety $\tau(X) = W_m = W_d$.

In between, for $g < k < m$ we have $\tau(X) + (d-k)X = W_m + (m-k)X \subseteq W_k$. Both $\tau(X) + (d-k)X$ and W_k are irreducible. We have $\dim \tau(X) + (d-k)X \geq 2(d-k+1) = \dim \sigma_{d-k+2}(X) - 1 = \dim W_k$ by Corollary 6 and the dimension computations above. Hence $W_k = \tau(X) + (d-k)X$.

Since $X \subset \tau(X) \subset 2X$ we have $lX \subset \tau(X) + (l-1)X \subset (l+1)X$ for $1 \leq l < g$, where the inclusions are of irreducible varieties, each of codimension 1 in the next. This proves the inclusions displayed in the statement. □

4.5. **Powers of quadratic forms.** Fix n, let $Q_n = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$, and consider Q_n^k, a form of degree $d = 2k$. Reznick showed every form of degree k in n variables is a derivative of Q_n^k, see [Rez95, Theorem 3.10]. This can be used to show that $\operatorname{rank} Q_n^k \geq \binom{n-1+k}{n-1}$, see for example [IK99, Theorem 5.3C,D]. (See [Rez92, Theorem 8.15(ii)] for the real case.) Sometimes equality holds, see [Rez92, Chapters 8, 9]. For example, Reznick uses the Leech lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ to show that

$$\operatorname{rank}((x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{24}^2)^5) = 98\,280 = \binom{28}{5}.$$

Note that $g_{24,10} = 3\,856\,710$.

Reznick gives an expression [Rez92, (10.35)]:

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^2 = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i<j}(x_i \pm x_j)^4 + \frac{4-n}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4,$$

thus $\operatorname{rank}(Q_n^2) \leq n^2$, so for sufficiently large n, $g_{n,4} = O(n^3) \gg \operatorname{rank}(Q_n^2)$. For small n, Reznick shows that $g_{n,4} \leq \operatorname{rank}(Q_n^2) \leq g_{n,4} + 1$ for $n = 3, 4, 5, 6$.

There is a similar identity:

$$\begin{aligned} 60(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^3 = &\sum_{i<j<k}(x_i \pm x_j \pm x_k)^6 \\ &+ 2(5-n)\sum_{i<j}(x_i \pm x_j)^6 + 2(n^2 - 9n + 38)\sum x_i^6, \end{aligned}$$

so $\operatorname{rank}(Q_n^3) \leq 4\binom{n}{3} + 2\binom{n}{2} + n$. Hence $g_{n,6} = O(n^5) \gg \operatorname{rank}(Q_n^3)$ for sufficiently large n.

It would be interesting to determine $\operatorname{rank}(Q_n^k)$, in particular to determine whether the rank is greater than the generic rank, and whether it is strictly less than the maximal rank.

4.6. **Orbits of homogeneous forms.** When F defines a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}V$ of degree $\deg F \geq 3$, the stabilizer of F in $\mathbf{SL}(V)$ is finite, see for example [OS78, (2.1)]. In particular the projective orbit $\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot [F] \subset \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$ has dimension $n^2 - 1$ where $n = \dim V$. In this section we establish a lower bound for the dimension of $\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot [F]$, assuming only that F is concise.

Lemma 20. *Assume $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a reduced subscheme such that every irreducible component of Y has dimension at least 1. Suppose a general hyperplane section $Y \cap \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ is a cone. Then Y is a cone with* $\dim \mathrm{Vertex}(Y) \geq 1$.

Proof. If $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$, then there is nothing to prove, so suppose $\dim Y < N$. First assume that Y is irreducible. Replacing $\mathbb{P}^N$ with a subspace if necessary, we may assume that Y is nondegenerate. Consider the vertex-incidence subvariety $Z \subset Y \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^*$, defined by:

$$Z = \big\{(y, H) \in Y \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^* \mid y \in \mathrm{Vertex}(Y \cap H)\big\}$$

with its natural projections $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Z \to Y$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 : Z \to (\mathbb{P}^N)^*$. By our assumptions pr_2 is dominant, so $\dim Z \geq N > \dim Y$. Let W be the image of pr_1. In particular, by dimension count, for a general point $w \in W$, there is a positive dimensional fiber $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(w) \subset Z$. Let $Z_w = \mathrm{pr}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(w))$, so that Z_w is a positive dimensional family of hyperplanes H such that w is a vertex of the cone $Y \cap H$.

Since Y is irreducible and nondegenerate, a general point y in Y is contained in some $Y \cap H$ with $H \in Z_w$. Then the line through y and w is contained in $Y \cap H$. Hence $w + Y = Y$, so $w \in \mathrm{Vertex}(Y)$. Therefore Y is a cone, $W \subset \mathrm{Vertex}(Y)$, and $\dim \mathrm{Vertex}(Y) \geq \dim W$. But $\dim W > 0$, because every general hyperplane contains a point of W.

Now if Y is reducible then by the above, each irreducible component is a cone. A vertex w of a general hyperplane section $Y \cap H$ is a vertex of each component and the result follows. □

Lemma 21. *Assume V is a vector space and $n = \dim V \geq 3$, $d \geq 2$. Suppose $H_d \subset \mathbb{P}V^* \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a (not necessarily reduced) hypersurface of degree d, which is not a cone. Then a general hyperplane section $H_d \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ is not a cone.*

Equivalently, suppose $F \in \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$ is a concise polynomial (in n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, of degree $d \geq 2$). Pick a general linear substitution of variables, say $x_n = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1}$. Let $F'(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = F(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1})$. Then F' essentially depends on $n - 1$ variables and no fewer.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 20, since a hypersurface is a cone if and only if its reduced subscheme is a cone. □

Now we turn our attention to $\mathbf{GL}(V)$-orbits.

Lemma 22. *Let $F \in \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$ be a polynomial in $n = \dim V$ variables, which essentially depends on k variables with $0 < k < n$ (i.e., F is non-trivial and non-concise). Then F determines uniquely the linear subspace $V' \subset V$ of dimension k such that $F \in \mathbb{P}(S^d V') \subset \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$. In particular,*

$$\dim(\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot [F]) = \dim(\mathbf{GL}(V') \cdot [F]) + \dim \mathrm{Gr}(k, V).$$

Proof. We have $V' = \langle F \rangle = ((F^\perp)_1)^\perp$ or $S^{d-1}V^* \lrcorner F$, as in §4.2. The fibration

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot [F] &\to \mathrm{Gr}(k, V) \\ [F'] = [g \cdot F] &\mapsto \langle F' \rangle = g \cdot \langle F \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is onto, and each fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbf{GL}(V') \cdot [F]$, proving the dimension claim. □

Proposition 23. *Suppose $F \in \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$ is a concise polynomial in $n = \dim V \geq 3$ variables. Let $Z = \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot [F]} \subset \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$. Then either $\dim Z \geq \binom{n+1}{2}$, or $\dim Z = \binom{n+1}{2} - 1$, $d = 2k$ is even, and $F = Q^k$ for a concise quadratic polynomial Q.*

Proof. Let $F_n = F$, $V_n = V$, and define inductively F_i to be a polynomial in i variables (a basis of V_i) obtained from F_{i+1} by a general substitution of one variable, as in Lemma 21. Thus F_i is a polynomial essentially dependent on i variables.

The closure of the orbit $\overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]}$ contains $\mathrm{End}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]$. In particular, the closure contains a general substitution of variables, i.e. it contains $[F_i]$ for all $i \leq n$. But $\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]$ does not contain $[F_i]$ for $i < n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]} &\geq \dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_{n-1}]} + 1 \\ &= \dim(\mathbf{GL}(V_{n-1}) \cdot [F_{n-1}]) + (n-1) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 22. Inductively,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]} &\geq n + (n-1) + \cdots + 5 + 4 + \dim(\mathbf{GL}(V_3) \cdot [F_3]) \\ &= \binom{n+1}{2} - 6 + \dim(\mathbf{GL}(V_3) \cdot [F_3]). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $F_3 = Q_3^k$ for some quadric in three variables Q_3, then by the generality of our choices of linear substitutions (or equivalently,

of hyperplane sections of the loci $(F_i = 0)$), we also must have $F = F_n = Q^k$. Thus it only remains to show the claim of the proposition for $n = 3$.

Denote by $(F_3)_{\mathrm{red}} \in \mathbb{P}(S^r V_3)$ the homogeneous equation of the reduced algebraic set $(F_3 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_3^*) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Observe that $(F_3)_{\mathrm{red}}$ essentially depends on 3 variables, just as F_3 does. In particular, $r = \deg(F_3)_{\mathrm{red}} \geq 2$, and if $r = 2$, then $(F_3)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is a nondegenerate (irreducible) quadric Q_3, and hence $F = Q^k$ and the claim of the proposition is proved. From now on, we assume $r \geq 3$.

Consider the general line section of the plane curve $(F_3 = 0)$. The degree $r = \deg(F_3)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the number of distinct points of support of this line section. By Lemma 22 again:

$$\dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_3) \cdot [F_3]} \geq \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_3) \cdot [F_2]} + 1 = \dim(\mathbf{GL}(V_2) \cdot [F_2]) + 3$$

and also $\dim(\mathbf{GL}(V_2) \cdot [F_2]) = 3$ since F_2 has r (at least 3) distinct roots. Therefore $\dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_3) \cdot [F_3]} \geq 6$ and $\dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V_n) \cdot [F_n]} \geq \binom{n+1}{2}$ as claimed. □

4.7. **Conciseness of forms of high rank.** We will use the following lemma in the next section.

Lemma 24. *Suppose $F \in \mathbb{P}(S^d V)$ is a form of maximal rank and $d \geq 2$. Then F is concise. In particular, a general point in each component of W_m is a concise form.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, that there exists a choice of variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in V (where $\dim V = n$), such that $F = F(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and $\operatorname{rank}(F) = m = m_{n,d}$. Then by [CCC15, Proposition 3.1] $\operatorname{rank}(F + x_n^d) = \operatorname{rank}(F) + 1 > m$, a contradiction. □

We can generalize the above lemma to show that all forms of greater than generic rank are necessarily concise under certain conditions. For this we use the following simplified bound for the maximal rank.

Lemma 25. $m_{n,d} \leq \left\lceil \frac{2(d+n-1)!}{n! \cdot d!} \right\rceil$.

Proof. In the exceptional cases $(n, d) \in \{(3, 4), (4, 4), (5, 3), (5, 4)\}$, use the hypersurface version of Theorem 15 and check that $2g_{n,d} - 2$ is less than or equal to the right hand side. In the nonexceptional cases, use the general version of Theorem 15 and check that $2g_{n,d} - 1$ is less than or equal to the right hand side. □

Proposition 26. *Let $n = \dim V \geq 2$. Suppose that d satisfies the following: if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$, then $d \geq 2$; otherwise, $d \geq n + 1$. Let F be a form of degree d in n variables with greater than generic rank. Then F is concise.*

Proof. If $n = 2$ and F is not concise then $\operatorname{rank}(F) = 1$. If $d = 2$ then there are no forms with greater than the generic rank, so there is nothing to prove. When $n = d = 3$ the unique (up to coordinate change) form of greater than generic rank is $F = x^2y + y^2z$, which is concise (see for example [LT10, §8]).

Now assume $d \geq n + 1$. Since $2 \leq \frac{d+n-1}{n}$ we have

$$\frac{2(d+n-2)!}{(n-1)!d!} \leq \frac{(d+n-1)!}{n!d!},$$

hence $m_{n-1,d} \leq g_{n,d}$. It follows that if F is not concise, then $\operatorname{rank}(F) \leq m_{n-1,d} \leq g_{n,d}$. □

4.8. **Dimensions of maximal rank loci for Veronese varieties.** We can now prove Theorem 17.

Proof of Theorem 17. Pick an irreducible component $W \subset W_m$ and let $F \in Y$ be a general form from that component. Then F is concise by Lemma 24. The closure $\overline{\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot F}$ of the orbit of F is contained in W. In particular, by Proposition 23,

$$\dim W \geq \dim \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot F} \geq \binom{n+1}{2} - 1,$$

and if $\dim W = \binom{n+1}{2} - 1$, then $W = \overline{\mathbf{GL}(V) \cdot Q^k}$. □

Example 27. For $n = d = 3$ we have $g = 4$ and $m = 5$. The rank locus W_5 is the closure of the orbit of the form $x^2y + y^2z$, the equation of a smooth plane conic plus a tangent line. This orbit has dimension 6, so $\dim W_m = 6 = \binom{n+1}{2}$.

5. Tensors of format $2 \times 4 \times 4$

When $X = \operatorname{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^{n_1-1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a Segre variety, $N = n_1 \cdots n_k - 1$, then $\mathbb{P}^N$ is the projective space of *tensors of format* $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_k$ and X corresponds to the simple tensors. Rank with respect to X is the usual tensor rank.

Tensors of format $2 \times b \times c$ may be regarded as pencils of matrices, which admit a normal form due to Kronecker. Using this normal form we characterize the loci of $2 \times 4 \times 4$ tensors of higher than generic rank. Tensors of format $2 \times 4 \times 4$ have generic rank 4 and maximal rank 6; we show that $W_6 + X = W_5$ and $W_5 + X = W_4$, where $W_4 = \mathbb{P}^{31}$ is the space of all $2 \times 4 \times 4$ tensors.

5.1. **Concise tensors.** A tensor $T \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ is *concise* if $T \in V_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k'$ with $V_i' \subseteq V_i$ for each i implies $V_i' = V_i$ for each i.

Fix $T \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ and for each i let $V_i' \subseteq V_i$ be the image of the induced map $V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{V_i^*} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k^* \to V_i$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{rank}(T) \geq \dim V_i'$ for each i, and also that $T \in V_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k'$. In particular, if $\operatorname{rank}(T) < \max\{\dim V_1, \ldots, \dim V_k\}$, then T is not concise.

Non-conciseness is a closed condition (because it is defined by vanishing of minors of certain matrices; see for example [Lan12, §3.4.1]). Hence the locus of non-concise tensors contains the secant variety $\sigma_r(X)$ for each $r < \max\{\dim V_1, \ldots, \dim V_k\}$, where X is the Segre variety.

Remark 28. Observe that non-square matrices are always non-concise, and more generally if $n_i > \prod_{j \neq i} n_j$ for some i, then every tensor of format $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_k$ is non-concise.

5.2. **Normal form.** Let $\{s, t\}$ be a basis for $\Bbbk^2$ and let $T \in \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^b \otimes \Bbbk^c$ be a tensor. Identifying $\Bbbk^b \otimes \Bbbk^c$ with the space of $b \times c$ matrices, we can write $T = s \otimes M_1 + t \otimes M_2$ for some $b \times c$ matrices M_1, M_2. The tensor T corresponds to the pencil spanned by M_1 and M_2 in $\mathbb{P}(\Bbbk^b \otimes \Bbbk^c)$; changes of basis in the pencil correspond to changes of basis in $\Bbbk^2$. It is convenient to write T as the $b \times c$ matrix $sM_1 + tM_2$ whose entries are homogeneous linear forms in s and t.

There is a normal form due to Kronecker for tensors $T \in \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^b \otimes \Bbbk^c$, i.e. a representative of the $\mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^2) \times \mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^b) \times \mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^c)$-orbit of T, or in other words, a convenient choice of basis that makes T particularly "simple". Further, the results of Grigoriev, Ja'Ja' and Teichert calculate the rank of each tensor in normal form, see [BL13, Section 5]. For simplicity of some calculations, we restrict our considerations to the case of even square matrices. Later we restrict further to the case of 4×4 matrices.

Kronecker's normal form is as follows. Suppose $V_n = \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^{2n} \otimes \Bbbk^{2n}$ and $X = \operatorname{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}V_n$ is a Segre variety. We encode the tensors in V_n as $2n \times 2n$ matrices with entries linear forms in two variables s and t. For a positive integer ϵ let L_ϵ denote the $\epsilon \times (\epsilon + 1)$ matrix

$$L_\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let F be an $f \times f$ matrix with coefficients in $\Bbbk$ in Jordan normal form. For $\lambda \in \Bbbk$, denote by $d_\lambda(F)$ the number of Jordan blocks of size at least 2 with the eigenvalue λ, and by $m(F)$ the maximum among $d_\lambda(F)$.

Given a sequence of matrices $M_1, \dots, M_k$ depending on variables s and t, denote by $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$ the block matrix

$$M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the above notation we allow M_i to be a zero matrix $Z_{p\times q}$ of size $p \times q$, where $p, q \geq 0$ are nonnegative integers. Thus, for example, if M_2 is a 0×5 matrix, then $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is the matrix M_1 with five columns of zeroes added.

Theorem 29 ([BL13, Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.3])**.** *For any tensor $T \in V_n = \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^{2n} \otimes \Bbbk^{2n}$ there exists a choice of basis of $\Bbbk^2$, $\Bbbk^{2n}$, and $\Bbbk^{2n}$ such that T is represented by a matrix*

$$T = L_{\epsilon_1} \oplus L_{\epsilon_2} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\epsilon_k} \oplus L_{\eta_1}^\top \oplus L_{\eta_2}^\top \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\eta_l}^\top \oplus (s \operatorname{Id}_f + tF) \oplus Z_{p\times q},$$

where k, l, f, p, and q are nonnegative integers (possibly zero); each ϵ_i and η_j is a positive integer; Id_f is the $f \times f$ identity matrix over $\Bbbk$; F is a $f \times f$ matrix in its Jordan normal form; and $Z_{p\times q}$ is the $p \times q$ zero matrix.

Moreover, the rank of T is equal to the sum of the ranks of the blocks in this normal form, where $\operatorname{rank}(L_{\epsilon_i}) = \epsilon_i + 1$, $\operatorname{rank}(L_{\eta_j}^\top) = \eta_j + 1$, $\operatorname{rank}(s \operatorname{Id}_f + tF) = f + m(F)$, and $\operatorname{rank}(Z_{p\times q}) = 0$. That is:

$$\operatorname{rank}(T) = \sum_i \epsilon_i + \sum_j \eta_j + k + l + f + m(F).$$

See also references discussed in [BL13, Remark 5.4].

It is straightforward to see that one can always further change the coordinates so that one of the eigenvalues of F is 0.

We stress that if $T = M_1 \oplus M_2$, then the rank of T is not necessarily equal to $\operatorname{rank}(M_1) + \operatorname{rank}(M_2)$. For example, let $M_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $M_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s+t & t \\ 0 & s+t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then, by Theorem 29 the rank of $T = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is 5, while $\operatorname{rank}(M_1) = \operatorname{rank}(M_2) = 3$.

5.3. **Generic and maximal rank.** For tensors in $V_n = \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^{2n} \otimes \Bbbk^{2n}$, the generic rank is $g = 2n$, and general tensors have the normal form $(s \operatorname{Id}_{2n} + tF)$, where F is a diagonal matrix with distinct (generic) eigenvalues. This is because a general pencil contains an invertible matrix, and the blocks L_{ϵ_i} or $L_{\eta_j}^\top$ have no invertible matrices.

Furthermore, the maximal rank is $m = 3n$, and any tensor T of maximal rank is of the form $(s \operatorname{Id}_{2n} + tF)$, where F has a unique eigenvalue

(which we can assume to be 0) and n Jordan blocks of size 2×2. That is, after reordering of rows and columns, we can write T as $\begin{pmatrix} s\,\mathrm{Id}_n & t\,\mathrm{Id}_n \\ 0 & s\,\mathrm{Id}_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus $W_m = W_{3n} = \overline{G\cdot[T]}$, where $G = \mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^2)\times\mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^{2n})\times\mathbf{GL}(\Bbbk^{2n})$ is the automorphism group of $X\subset \mathbb{P}V_n$, and $T = \begin{pmatrix} s\,\mathrm{Id}_n & t\,\mathrm{Id}_n \\ 0 & s\,\mathrm{Id}_n \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, W_m is irreducible.

Remark 30. Note that $T = \begin{pmatrix} s\,\mathrm{Id}_n & t\,\mathrm{Id}_n \\ 0 & s\,\mathrm{Id}_n \end{pmatrix}$ is concise, so

$$T \notin \sigma_{2n-1}(X) = \sigma_{g-1}(X).$$

Hence $W_m \not\subset \sigma_{g-1}(X)$.

We compute the dimension of $W_m = W_{3n}$. The main technique is to reduce to a system of linear equations via the Lie algebra stabilizer. We illustrate this in some detail in this case, as we will use the same method (with fewer details given) to compute dimensions of other orbits of $2\times 4\times 4$ tensors in the next section.

Proposition 31. *For $X = \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}\times\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}\subset\mathbb{P}^{8n^2-1}$ the dimension of $W_m = W_{3n}$ is $6n^2$.*

Proof. Let ρ denote the action of G on $V_n = \Bbbk^2\otimes\Bbbk^{2n}\otimes\Bbbk^{2n}$.

The dimension of the orbit $G\cdot T$ is equal to the codimension in G of the stabilizer subgroup of T [Pro07, §3.7]. We compute the dimension of the stabilizer subgroup by finding the dimension of its tangent space at the identity $e\in G$. Recall that in the representation $d\rho$ of the Lie algebra $T_e(G)\cong \mathrm{End}(\Bbbk^2)\times\mathrm{End}(\Bbbk^{2n})\times\mathrm{End}(\Bbbk^{2n})$ on V_n, a tangent vector (g_1,g_2,g_3) acts on $(sM_1+tM_2)\in V_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho(g_1,g_2,g_3).(sM_1+tM_2) &= \Big((as+ct)M_1+(bs+dt)M_2\Big) \\ &\quad + \Big(s(g_2M_1)+t(g_2M_2)\Big) - \Big(s(M_1g_3)+t(M_2g_3)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ [Pro07, (6.1.1)]. Recall also that a tangent vector $(g_1,g_2,g_3)\in T_e(G)$ lies in the tangent space to the stabilizer of T at e if and only if the derivative $d\rho(g_1,g_2,g_3)$ annihilates T [Pro07, §3.5, Theorem 2].

Write in block form $T = \begin{pmatrix} sI_n & tI_n \\ 0 & sI_n \end{pmatrix}$, so $M_1 = I_{2n}$ and $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Write $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $g_3 = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where the A_{ij}

and B_{ij} are $n \times n$ matrices. Then (g_1, g_2, g_3) is in the tangent space to the stabilizer of T if and only if

$$\Big((as+ct)M_1 + (bs+dt)M_2\Big) + \Big(s(g_2M_1) + t(g_2M_2)\Big) \\ - \Big(s(M_1g_3) + t(M_2g_3)\Big) = 0.$$

The left hand side is

$$\begin{pmatrix} aI_n + A_{11} - B_{11} & bI_n + A_{12} - B_{12} \\ A_{21} - B_{21} & aI_n + A_{22} - B_{22} \end{pmatrix} s \\ + \begin{pmatrix} cI_n - B_{21} & dI_n + A_{11} - B_{22} \\ 0 & cI_n + A_{21} \end{pmatrix} t.$$

This must vanish identically, which yields the equations

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11} &= aI_n + A_{11}, & A_{21} &= 0, \\ B_{12} &= bI_n + A_{12}, & B_{21} &= 0, \\ B_{22} &= dI_n + A_{11}, & c &= 0, \\ A_{22} &= (d-a)I_n + A_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that A_{11}, A_{12}, a, b, d are free, so the stabilizer has dimension $2n^2 + 3$. Since $\dim G = 8n^2 + 4$, the affine orbit $G \cdot T \subset V_n$ has dimension $6n^2 + 1$. The projective orbit $G \cdot [T] \subset \mathbb{P}V_n$ has dimension one less, since G contains subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m = \Bbbk^*$ that act on V_n as rescaling. So $\dim W_m = \dim G \cdot [T] = 6n^2$, as claimed. □

Remark 32. This shows that for X as above, some of the intermediate joins $W_{3n} + kX$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ must be highly defective. Indeed, the expected dimension of $W_{3n} + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil X$ is already the dimension of the ambient $\mathbb{P}^{8n^2-1}$, while we know that even $W_{3n} + (n-1)X$ does *not* fill $\mathbb{P}^{8n^2-1}$.

5.4. **Orbits of $2 \times 4 \times 4$ tensors.** We now specialise to the case $n = 2$, i.e., tensors in $V_2 = \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^4 \otimes \Bbbk^4$.

Let $G = \mathbf{GL}_2 \times \mathbf{GL}_4 \times \mathbf{GL}_4$ and consider the natural action of G on $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$. Note that $\dim G = 36$ and $\dim \mathbb{P}(V_2) = 31$.

Lemma 33. *The orbit structure of the action of G on $\mathbb{P}(V_2)$ is as follows.*

(1) There is no open orbit.

(2) *The only orbits of codimension* 1 *are the orbits of (classes of) tensors (in their Kronecker normal forms):*

$$T_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4) = \begin{pmatrix} s+\lambda_1 t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s+\lambda_2 t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+\lambda_3 t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+\lambda_4 t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{or}$$

$$T_5(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3) = \begin{pmatrix} s+\lambda_1 t & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s+\lambda_1 t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+\lambda_2 t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+\lambda_3 t \end{pmatrix}$$

for pairwise distinct eigenvalues λ_i*. Two tensors of the form* $T_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4)$ *are in the same orbit if and only if the cross-ratios of their eigenvalues* $\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_3}\cdot\frac{\lambda_4-\lambda_3}{\lambda_4-\lambda_2}$ *are equal (after possibly permuting the order of* λ_i*). Any two tensors of the form* $T_5(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ *are in the same orbit.*

(3) *There are finitely many orbits of codimension at least* 2*.*

Proof. The set of projective classes of nonconcise tensors (i.e. those contained in some $\mathbb{P}(\Bbbk^1\otimes\Bbbk^4\otimes\Bbbk^4)$ or $\mathbb{P}(\Bbbk^2\otimes\Bbbk^3\otimes\Bbbk^4)$ or $\mathbb{P}(\Bbbk^2\otimes\Bbbk^4\otimes\Bbbk^3)$) is G-invariant, of dimension 27 (hence codimension 4), and has only finitely many orbits [BL13, Section 6]. Thus it is enough to prove the lemma for concise tensors.

To see when tensors of the form $T_4 = T_4(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4)$ are in the same orbit, note $\det(T_4) = (s+\lambda_1 t)\cdots(s+\lambda_4 t)$ determines four points $[-\lambda_i, 1]$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ parametrised by s, t. In particular, tensors with different cross-ratios of eigenvalues (up to permutation) cannot be in the same orbit. On the other hand, if there are two sets of eigenvalues with the same cross-ratio, then we can change the coordinates (s,t) on $\Bbbk^2$, and then also rescale columns to get from one tensor to the other. Let $G^0_{[T_4]} \subset G$ be the identity component of the stabilizer of $[T_4] \in \mathbb{P}(V_2)$. Suppose $(g_1,g_2,g_3) \in G^0_{[T_4]}$, where $g_1 \in \mathbf{GL}_2$, $g_2 \in \mathbf{GL}_4$ and $g_3 \in \mathbf{GL}_4$. The action of g_1 on $\mathbb{P}^1$ must preserve the four points (zeroes of determinant). Thus $g_1 = \mu_1 \operatorname{Id}_2$ is a rescaling of the identity. Restricting to the s coordinate, we see that the product $g_2 g_3 = \mu_2 \operatorname{Id}_4$ is also a rescaling of the identity, that is $g_3 = \mu_2 g_2^{-1}$. Hence restricting to the t coordinate, g_2 commutes with a diagonal matrix with pairwise distinct entries. Then it is straightforward to see that g_2 is an invertible diagonal matrix, and any invertible diagonal matrix can occur as g_2. Thus $\dim G^0_{[T_4]} = 6$ and the dimension of the orbit of $[T_4]$ is $30 = 36 - 6$, as claimed.

In particular, since a general tensor is of the form T_4, it lies in an orbit of codimension 1. So there is no open orbit.

To see that $T_5(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is always in the same orbit, we use a linear transformation $\phi\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$, which takes the triple of points

$([-\lambda_1,1],[-\lambda_2,1],[-\lambda_3,1])$ to $([0,1],[-1,1],[1,1])$. Let $[1,\nu_1]$ be the image of $[1,0]$. Lifting ϕ to $\widehat{\phi}\colon \Bbbk^2 \to \Bbbk^2$ we obtain that

$$\widehat{\phi}(T_5) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_2 s & \nu_3(-\nu_1 s+t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_2 s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_4(s+t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu_5(s-t) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some nonzero constants $\nu_2,\dots,\nu_5$. Then using column and row rescalings we can modify the matrix to $\begin{pmatrix} s & -\nu_1 s+t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s-t \end{pmatrix}$. Finally, we add a multiple of the first column to the second column to obtain $\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s-t \end{pmatrix}$. Thus any $T_5(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is in the same G-orbit as $T_5(0,1,-1)$. As in the proof of Proposition 31, we can check that the dimension of the Lie algebra stabilizer of $[T_5(0,1,-1)] \in \mathbb{P}(V_2)$ is 6, hence its orbit is of codimension 1.

It remains to check that there are finitely many other concise orbits and that all these other orbits have codimension at least 2, i.e. dimension at most 29.

For the first part we use the normal form described in Theorem 29 and rescaling to fix the eigenvalues. It is straightforward to see that there are 14 concise orbits other than the T_4 and T_5 cases. The second part is an explicit computer calculation of the dimension of the Lie algebra stabilizer for each of the cases above, as in the proof of Proposition 31. Representatives for the 14 orbits are listed, along with the dimensions of the orbits and their ranks, in Table 1. □

Consider the determinant of sM_1+tM_2 as a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in the variables s,t, whose coefficients are degree 4 homogeneous polynomials a_i in 32 variables, the coordinates of V_2:

$$\det(sM_1+tM_2) = a_0s^4 + a_1s^3t + a_2s^2t^2 + a_3st^3 + a_4t^4$$

(so that in particuular, $a_0 = \det M_1$, $a_4 = \det M_2$). Consider the discriminant of this polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Discr} = {} & 256a_0^3a_4^3 - 192a_0^2a_1a_3a_4^2 - 128a_0^2a_2^2a_4^2 + 144a_0^2a_2a_3^2a_4 - 27a_0^2a_3^4 \\ & + 144a_0a_1^2a_2a_4^2 - 6a_0a_1^2a_3^2a_4 - 80a_0a_1a_2^2a_3a_4 + 18a_0a_1a_2a_3^3 \\ & + 16a_0a_2^4a_4 - 4a_0a_2^3a_3^2 - 27a_1^4a_4^2 + 18a_1^3a_2a_3a_4 - 4a_1^3a_3^3 \\ & - 4a_1^2a_2^3a_4 + a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a degree 24 polynomial in the 32 variables.

Corollary 34. *A class of a tensor $T = sM_1+tM_2$ is in the support of the effective divisor* (Discr) *if and only if* $\det(sM_1+tM_2)$ *has a root of multiplicity at least two or is identically zero.* (Discr) *in* $\mathbb{P}^{31} = \mathbb{P}(V_2)$

orbit	dim	rank	orbit	dim	rank
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$	24	6	$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$	26	5
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	29	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$	26	5
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	29	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$	25	5
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$	28	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$	22	5
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	27	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s-t \end{pmatrix}$	28	4
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	27	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	25	4
$\begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$	26	5	$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$	23	4

TABLE 1. Representatives for the concise orbits in $\mathbb{P}(\Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^4 \otimes \Bbbk^4)$ of codimension at least 2, the dimensions (denoted dim) of their orbits, and their ranks.

is G-invariant. Set theoretically, the support of (Discr) *is equal to the closure of the orbit* $G \cdot [T_5] = G \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s-t \end{bmatrix}$. *Every class of a non-concise tensor lies in the support of* (Discr)*, as does every class of a tensor of rank* 5 *or* 6.

Proof. The first characterization of the divisor is clear from the definition and properties of the discriminant, while the G-invariance follows from this first characterization. Similarly, T_5 is in the support of (Discr), and so is the closure of its orbit. By Lemma 33 the orbit of T_5 is the only orbit of codimension 1 which is contained in the support. Since there are only finitely many orbits of codimension at least 2, the only irreducible G-invariant divisors are the closures of 30-dimensional orbits. Hence the support of (Discr) is irreducible and equal to $\overline{G \cdot [T_5]}$.

If $T = (sM_1 + tM_2)$ is non-concise, then either the normal form for T involves a block of zeros, so $\det(sM_1 + tM_2) = 0$, or else the matrices M_1 and M_2 are linearly dependent, so the determinant has a single

root of multiplicity 4. Hence all classes of non-concise tensors lie in the support of (Discr). Table 1 lists the tensors of rank 5 or 6 other than T_5. The determinant of each tensor listed in the table is zero, or has a multiple root. So the classes of these tensors also lie in (Discr). □

5.5. **High rank loci of $2 \times 4 \times 4$ tensors.** In this case the generic rank is $g = 4$ and the maximal rank is $m = 6$.

Proposition 35. *Let $V_2 = \Bbbk^2 \otimes \Bbbk^4 \otimes \Bbbk^4$ and $X = \operatorname{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3) \subset \mathbb{P}V_2$. Then*

$$W_5 = W_6 + X \quad \text{and} \quad W_5 + X = \mathbb{P}V_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{31}.$$

Moreover, W_5 is an irreducible divisor consisisting of those $sM_1 + tM_2$ such that $\det(sM_1 + tM_2)$ (considered as a homogeneous polynomial in two variables s and t) is either identically 0 or has a root of multiplicity at least 2.

Proof. Let $T_5 = T_5(0, 1, -1)$. By Corollary 34, $\overline{G \cdot [T_5]} =$ (Discr) and every tensor of rank 5 lies in the support of the divisor (Discr), so $W_5 \subseteq \overline{G \cdot [T_5]}$. Conversely, the orbit $G \cdot [T_5] \subseteq W_5$. Therefore, $W_5 = \overline{G \cdot [T_5]} =$ (Discr) is an irreducible divisor. Hence the equality $W_5 + X = W_4 = \mathbb{P}V_2$ follows from Corollary 6.

Let T_6 be the (unique up to a choice of coordinates) tensor of rank 6, and let T_1 be a general tensor of rank 1. Then $T_6 + T_1$ has rank 5 by Theorem 7. A computer calculation shows that determinant of $T_6 + T_1$ is divisible by s^2 and has two other distinct roots not equal to s. Thus $T_6 + T_1$ must be of the form T_5. That is, a general element of the (irreducible) join $W_6 + X$ (where $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$) is a general element of the irreducible variety W_5, thus $W_6 + X = W_5$ as claimed. □

Remark 36. The proofs above show that for $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{31}$ (so that $\dim X = 7$) we have $\dim W_6 = 24$ and $\dim W_5 = \dim(W_6 + X) = 30$. That is, the join $W_6 + X$ is defective (it is expected to fill the ambient space excessively, but it does not).

6. Curves in quadric surfaces

We study rank with respect to a curve C contained in a smooth quadric surface $Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$. By nondefectivity, the generic rank with respect to C is 2, and by Theorem 1 or Theorem 13 the maximal rank is at most 3.

If C has bidegree $(2, 2)$ then C is an elliptic normal quartic curve. Bernardi, Gimigliano, and Idà gave a description of W_3 in this case, and more generally studied elliptic normal curves of degree $d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$, $d \geq 3$ [BGI11, Theorem 28]. We refine their result in the $d = 3$

case and show that the maximal rank locus W_3 is a curve of degree 8 disjoint from C.

Piene has shown that if C is a general curve of bidegree $(3,3)$ then W_3 is empty (the maximal rank is 2), see [Pie81, Theorem 2]. We extend this to general curves of bidegree (a,b), where $a \geq 4$ and $b \geq 1$.

6.1. **Elliptic quartic curve.** Let us study the locus W_3 with respect to an elliptic normal curve of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Proposition 37. *Let $C = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ be a smooth complete intersection of two smooth quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. The generic rank with respect to C is 2 and the maximal rank is 3. W_3 is a curve of degree 8, disjoint from C and containing the vertices of the 4 singular quadrics that contain C. Every point of W_3 has rank 3, except those 4 points, which have rank 2.*

Proof. Note that C has no trisecant, bitangent, or flex lines, since any such line would have to be contained in every quadric surface that contains C. The quadrics containing C form a pencil with 4 singular members, which are distinct and irreducible. Let the vertices of those cones be $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$. Each vertex x_i lies off of C, and each x_i has rank 2.

Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \backslash (C \cup V)$ and let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the projection from x. Then $\pi(C)$ is an elliptic quartic curve, hence has 2 singularities, counting with multiplicity. This shows that through each point of $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus (C \cup V)$ there are 2 secant or tangent lines to C. A priori this is counting with multiplicity, but since C has no trisecant or bitangent lines, the two secant or tangent lines are distinct. The point x has rank 3 if and only if no proper secant to C passes through x. Thus the points of rank 3 are exactly those in the intersection of two tangent lines to C, other than the points in V (this is one of the results in [BGI11, Theorem 28]).

Let W_3° denote the set of points of rank 3. Every point in $W_3 = \overline{W_3^\circ}$ lies on at least two tangent lines of C, by semicontinuity of the degree of the projection map from the abstract tangent variety $\{(x, \ell) \mid x \in \ell,\ \ell \text{ tangent to } C\}$. But no point of C lies on more than one tangent line. This shows that W_3 is disjoint from C.

Let Q be a smooth quadric containing C and let $\mathrm{pr}_i : Q \to \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$, be the two natural projections. Then $\mathrm{pr}_{i|C} : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a $2:1$ morphism with 4 ramification points. This shows that there are 4 tangent lines to C in each ruling. The tangent lines to C in the rulings of Q intersect in 16 points which do not lie on C, as no tangent line intersects C anywhere other than its point of tangency. Therefore

the 16 points of intersection are in $W_3^\circ \cap Q$. On the other hand, if $w \in W_3^\circ \cap Q$ then the tangent lines passing through w are contained in Q. Hence any such quadric intersects W_3° in exactly 16 points. This shows that the closure $\overline{W_3^\circ}$ is a curve of degree 8.

Finally, let Q be a singular quadric containing C. Then it is immediate to realize that the vertex of Q is the only point of Q that lies on more than one tangent line of C. So W_3 contains each vertex $x_1, \ldots, x_4$ of a singular quadric through C. These are the only points of rank 2 in W_3. □

Remark 38. The above proof also recovers the (previously known) fact that general points in $\mathbb{P}^3$, namely those outside of the tangential variety of C, admit precisely 2 decompositions as linear combinations of 2 points in C. This holds more generally for elliptic normal curves of even degree, see [CC06, Proposition 5.2].

Remark 39. The example of the elliptic quartic curve shows that W_m can be disjoint from the base variety. Thus the situation as in the proof of Theorem 15, when $W_m \supset X$, is rather special to the homogeneous spaces.

6.2. **General curves in a quadric surface.**

Proposition 40. *Let $a \geq b \geq 1$ and let $C \subset Q$ be a general curve of type (a, b) in the smooth quadric surface Q. If $a \geq 4$ then W_3 is empty, that is, the maximal rank m is equal to 2.*

Proof. First let $x \in Q$, $x \notin C$. Let l be the line in Q through x such that $l \cdot C = a$. By generality $l \cap C$ has points of multiplicity at most 2, so $a \geq 3$ is enough to imply that $l \cdot C$ is supported in at least two distinct points. Hence $\operatorname{rank}(x) = 2$.

Next let $x \notin Q$, and suppose $\operatorname{rank}(x) = 3$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the projection from x. Since x lies on no secant line to C, and not every tangent line to C passes through x, π has degree 1 on C. Then $\pi(C)$ is a plane curve of degree $a + b$, and every point of $\pi(C)$ has multiplicity at most 2, since each line through x intersects Q with multiplicity 2. The projection $\pi(C)$ has no nodes, only cuspidal singularities. Let H_x be the polar hyperplane of Q in x, so $y \in H_x \cap Q$ if and only if the tangent plane to Q at y contains x, see for example [Har95, pg. 238], [Dol12, §1.1.2]. Let $Z_x = H_x \cap C$. Then Z_x has degree $a + b$ and the cuspidal points of $\pi(C)$ are contained in $\pi(Z_x)$. Therefore the curve $\pi(C)$ has at most $a + b$ cusps. By adjunction in Q, C has genus $1 + (1/2)a(b-2) + (1/2)b(a-2) = (a-1)(b-1)$. The projection $\pi(C)$ has degree $a+b$, geometric genus $(a-1)(b-1)$, and only ordinary cusps,

hence the number of cusps is $(1/2)(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-(a-1)(b-1) = \binom{a}{2}+\binom{b}{2}$. This is strictly greater than $a+b$ as soon as $a \geq 4$ and $b \geq 1$. Thus once again $\operatorname{rank}(x) = 2$. □

Acknowledgements. We are grateful for the hospitality and partial support of the Fields Institute in Toronto (Canada) during the Thematic Program on Combinatorial Algebraic Geometry in Fall 2016. We thank the program organizers and the Institute's staff for invitations, financial support, and the wonderfully stimulative atmosphere for collaborative work. We are also grateful to the participants of the semester for their suggestions and discussions.

J. Buczyński is supported by a grant of Polish National Science Center (NCN), project 2013/11/D/ST1/02580, and by a scholarship of Polish Ministry of Science. K. Han is supported by the POSCO Science Fellowship of POSCO TJ Park Foundation and the DGIST Start-up Fund of the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (No. 2016010066). M. Mella is partially supported by Progetto MIUR "Geometry of Algebraic Varieties" 2015EYPTSB_005. Z. Teitler is supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#354574).

The computer algebra program Magma [BCP97] was helpful in calculation of explicit examples. The article is a part of the activities of the AGATES research group.

References

[Ådl87] Bjørn Ådlandsvik, *Joins and higher secant varieties*, Math. Scand. **61** (1987), no. 2, 213–222.

[AH95] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz, *Polynomial interpolation in several variables*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 2, 201–222.

[Bal10] Edoardo Ballico, *On the real X-ranks of points of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to a real variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **64** (2010), no. 2, 15–19.

[Bal11] E. Ballico, *An upper bound for the X-ranks of points of $\mathbb{P}^n$ in positive characteristic*, Albanian J. Math. **5** (2011), no. 1, 3–10.

[BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

[BGI11] Alessandra Bernardi, Alessandro Gimigliano, and Monica Idà, *Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors*, J. Symbolic Comput. **46** (2011), no. 1, 34–53.

[BL13] Jarosław Buczyński and J. M. Landsberg, *Ranks of tensors and a generalization of secant varieties*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), no. 2, 668–689.

[BS16] Grigoriy Blekherman and Rainer Sinn, *Real rank with respect to varieties*, Linear Algebra Appl. **505** (2016), 344–360.

[BT15] Grigoriy Blekherman and Zach Teitler, *On maximum, typical and generic ranks*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), no. 3-4, 1021–1031.

[BT16] Jarosław Buczyński and Zach Teitler, *Some examples of forms of high rank*, Collect. Math. **67** (2016), no. 3, 431–441.

[Car06] Enrico Carlini, *Reducing the number of variables of a polynomial*, Algebraic geometry and geometric modeling, Math. Vis., Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 237–247.

[CC06] Luca Chiantini and Ciro Ciliberto, *On the concept of k-secant order of a variety*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), no. 2, 436–454.

[CCC15] Enrico Carlini, Maria Virginia Catalisano, and Luca Chiantini, *Progress on the symmetric Strassen conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 8, 3149–3157.

[CGO14] Enrico Carlini, Nathan Grieve, and Luke Oeding, *Four lectures on secant varieties*, Connections between algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 76, Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 101–146.

[CS11] Gonzalo Comas and Malena Seiguer, *On the rank of a binary form*, Found. Comput. Math. **11** (2011), no. 1, 65–78.

[Dol12] Igor V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012, A modern view.

[FH91] William Fulton and Joe Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics.

[FOV99] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll, and W. Vogel, *Joins and intersections*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[Ger96] Anthony V. Geramita, *Inverse systems of fat points: Waring's problem, secant varieties of Veronese varieties and parameter spaces for Gorenstein ideals*, The Curves Seminar at Queen's, Vol. X (Kingston, ON, 1995), Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 102, Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON, 1996, pp. 2–114.

[Har95] Joe Harris, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, A first course, Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.

[IK99] Anthony Iarrobino and Vassil Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.

[Lan12] J. M. Landsberg, *Tensors: Geometry and Applications*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 128, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[LT10] J. M. Landsberg and Zach Teitler, *On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010), no. 3, 339–366.

[OS78] Peter Orlik and Louis Solomon, *Singularities. II. Automorphisms of forms*, Math. Ann. **231** (1977/78), no. 3, 229–240.

[Pie81] Ragni Piene, *Cuspidal projections of space curves*, Math. Ann. **256** (1981), no. 1, 95–119.

[Pro07] Claudio Procesi, *Lie groups*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2007, An approach through invariants and representations.

[Rez92] Bruce Reznick, *Sums of even powers of real linear forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1992), no. 463, viii+155.

[Rez95] ———, *Uniform denominators in Hilbert's seventeenth problem*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), no. 1, 75–97.

Jarosław Buczyński, Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland, and Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics, University of Warsaw, ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: jabu@mimuw.edu.pl

Kangjin Han, School of Undergraduate Studies, Daegu-Gyeongbuk Institute of Science & Technology (DGIST), 333 Techno jungang-daero, Hyeonpung-myeon, Dalseong-gun Daegu 42988, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: kjhan@dgist.ac.kr

Massimiliano Mella, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Ferrara, Via Machiavelli 35, 44100 Ferrara Italia

E-mail address: mll@unife.it

Zach Teitler, Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, ID 83725-1555, USA

E-mail address: zteitler@boisestate.edu